



Shri Sudhir Sharma,
Opposite Triveni Palace,
Patiala Road, Nabha,
Distt. Patiala.

..... Complainant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o BDPO, Malerkotla-1,
Distt. Sangrur.

.....Respondent

Complaint Case No. 51 of 2021

Present:- Shri Sudhir Sharma, complainant/applicant is absent.
None present on behalf of the PIO, O/o BDPO, Malerkotla-1, Distt. Sangrur.

O R D E R

Shri Sudhir Sharma, complainant/applicant filed RTI application dated 16.10.2020 before the PIO, O/o Deputy Commissioner, Sangrur. The RTI application was transferred U/s 6(3) of the RTI Act, 2005 to the PIO, O/o BDPO, Malerkotla-1, Distt. Sangrur vide letter dated 13.11.2020. When no information was provided to the complainant/applicant by the PIO, then he filed complaint against the PIO before the Punjab State Information Commission on 31.12.2020. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today through **CISCO Webex Meetings Software**.

Both the parties are absent today.

I have gone through the RTI application dated 16.10.2020 filed by the complainant/applicant and he sought information regarding Shri Pavittar Singh, Panchayat Secretary, Gram Panchayat, Binjoke, Sangrur.

The PIO is directed to file reply to the complaint filed by the complainant/applicant and send the same to the Commission as well as to the complainant/applicant within 10 days. In case of non compliance of the Order of the Commission, strict action will be taken against the PIO under the provisions of the RTI Act, 2005.

Both the parties should personally attend the hearing on **07.05.2021 at 11:30 A.M.** through **CISCO WEBEX MEETINGS Software (Meeting No. 1582695783)**.

Dated: 16.04.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab



Shri Tarlochan Singh,
S/o Shri Ajaib Singh,
VPO Pohir,
Tehsil & Distt. Ludhiana.

..... Complainant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o BDPO, Dehlon,
Distt. Ludhiana.

.....Respondent

Complaint Case No. 54 of 2021

Present:- Shri Tarlochan Singh, complainant/applicant is absent.
None present on behalf of the PIO, O/o BDPO, Dehlon, Distt. Ludhiana.

O R D E R

Shri Tarlochan Singh, complainant/applicant filed RTI application dated 10.08.2020 and sought some information from the PIO, O/o BDPO, Dehlon, Distt. Ludhiana. When no information was provided to him by the PIO, then he filed complaint against the PIO before the Punjab State Information Commission on 05.01.2021. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today through **CISCO Webex Meetings Software.**

Both the parties are absent today.

I have gone through the RTI application dated 10.08.2020 filed by the complainant/applicant.

The PIO, O/o BDPO, Dehlon, Distt. Ludhiana is directed to file reply to the complaint filed by the complainant/applicant and send the same to the Commission as well as to the complainant/applicant within 10 days. In case of non compliance of the Order of the Commission, Show Cause Notice will be issued to the PIO on the next date of hearing.

Both the parties should personally attend the hearing on **07.05.2021 at 11:30 A.M.** through **CISCO WEBEX MEETINGS Software (Meeting No. 1582695783).**

Dated: 16.04.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab



Shri Vinod Jetley, S/o Shri Inder Sen Jetley,
H. No. 3042, Sector 40-D,
Chandigarh.

..... Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o Executive Officer,
Improvement Trust, Jalandhar.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Executive Officer,
Improvement Trust,
Jalandhar.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 1303 of 2020

Present:- Shri Vinod Jetley, appellant is absent.
None present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o EO, Improvement Trust, Jalandhar.

O R D E R

Shri Vinod Jetley, appellant filed RTI application dated 10.12.2019 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Executive Officer, Improvement Trust, Jalandhar.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software. Both the parties are absent today and neither any mail has been sent to the Commission by both the parties.

I have gone through the Order dated 01.12.2020 passed by the Commission and the PIO was directed to file reply to the Show Cause Notice and to send the sought for information/reply to the appellant through registered post within a week and a copy of the same be sent to the Commission as compliance.

But the SPIO is again absent today and neither he has sent any reply to the Show Cause Notice.

So, a last and final opportunity is granted to the SPIO, O/o Executive Officer, Improvement Trust, Jalandhar that he should file reply to the Show Cause Notice and send it to the Commission within 10 days and an opportunity of personal hearing would be afforded to him on the Show Cause Notice. In case he would not file reply to the Show Cause Notice then it will be assumed that he do not want to say anything in his defence and the Show Cause Notice would be decided on the basis of papers available in the case file.

The SPIO is further directed that the sought for information/reply be sent to the appellant through registered post within 10 days and a copy of the same be sent to the Commission as compliance.

Both the parties should connect through **CISCO WEBEX MEETINGS Software (Meeting No. 1582695783)** on the next date of hearing i.e. **07.05.2021 at 11:30 A.M.**

Dated: 16.04.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Shri Suresh Kumar Sharma,
C/o Sharma Furniture House,
Main Bazar, Chhehrata, Amritsar.

.....Appellant.

Versus

Public Information Officer
O/o Chief Electoral Officer, PB.,
SCO 29-32, Sector 17,
Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority:
O/o Chief Electoral Officer, PB.,
SCO 29-32, Sector 17,
Chandigarh.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2788 of 2020

Present:- Shri Suresh Kumar Sharma, appellant is absent.
None present on behalf of the respondent.

O R D E R

Shri Suresh Kumar Sharma, appellant filed RTI application dated 01.06.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Chief Electoral Officer, Punjab, Chandigarh.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meeting Software.

Both the parties are absent today.

I have gone through the Order dated 01.12.2020 passed by the Commission. Both the parties were absent on that date, but the Commission had received a mail sent by the SPIO, O/o Chief Electoral Officer, Punjab in which it was submitted that the RTI application filed by the appellant does not relate to the said office, rather it relates with the office of the State Election Commission, Punjab, Chandigarh. So, the SPIO, O/o State Election Commission, Punjab, Chandigarh was directed to send the attested copies of sought for information to the appellant.

The appellant has informed telephonically to the Commission that he is unable to attend the hearing for today due to the marriage of his son and he further informed that no information has been provided to him by the respondent.

The Commission has received a mail sent by the SPIO, O/o State Election Commission, Punjab on 05.02.2021, diarized vide no.2873, which is a copy of letter no.2314 dated 05.02.2021. I have gone through the said letter and the APIO-cum-Sr. Asstt. O/o State Election Commission, Punjab has written to the SPIO-cum-Additional Deputy Commissioner (Dev.)-cum-Additional Distt. Electoral Officer, Amritsar to provide the information to the appellant and to attend the today's hearing for the present case.

But none has appeared on behalf of the respondent today.

So, the SPIO-cum-Additional Deputy Commissioner (Dev.)-cum-Additional Distt. Electoral Officer, Amritsar is directed that the attested copies of sought for information be sent

to the appellant through registered post within 10 days and a copy of the same be sent to the Commission as compliance. IN case of non compliance of the Order of the Commission, Show Cause Notice will be issued to the SPIO.

Both the parties should connect through **CISCO WEBEX MEETINGS Software (Meeting No. 1582695783)** on the next date of hearing i.e. **11.05.2021 at 11:30 A.M.**

Dated: 16.04.2021 **(Avtar Singh Kaler)**
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

(Regd.) CC: State Election Commission, Punjab, SCO No.49, Sector 17-E, Chandigarh.

- for compliance of the Order of the Commission.

(Regd.) CC: Additional Deputy Commissioner (Dev.)-cum-Additional District Electoral Officer, Amritsar. **- For compliance of the Order of the Commission.**

Shri Jasbir Singh,
Village Bolapur, Jhabewal,
P.O. Ramgarh,
Distt. Ludhiana.

.....Appellant.

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/ o Director,
Health and Family Welfare, Punjab,
Sector 34, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority:
O/ o Commissioner,
Food and Drugs Administration,
State Food and Training Laboratory,
Kharar, Distt. Mohali.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 1933 of 2020

Present:- Shri Jasbir Singh, appellant is present.
None present on behalf of the respondent.

O R D E R

Shri Jasbir Singh, appellant filed RTI application dated 10.08.2019 before the SPIO, O/o Director, Health and Family Welfare, Punjab, Chandigarh.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

The appellant is present today, whereas none appeared on behalf of the SPIO.

The appellant stated that incomplete information has been provided to him by the SPIO and he has submitted the list of deficiencies to the notice of the SPIO on 23.11.2020 and then again on 08.02.2021, but no information regarding the deficiencies has been provided to him by the SPIO.

The SPIO is absent today and neither he has sent any compliance to the Commission. The act of the SPIO shows denial of information and defiance of the provisions of the RTI Act, 2005. So, a Show Cause Notice is issued to the SPIO that why the complete sought for information has not been provided to the appellant and why the amount of compensation of Rs.3,000/- has not been paid to the appellant, so why he may not be penalized under the provisions of the RTI Act, 2005.

The SPIO is directed to file reply to the Show Cause Notice and send it to the Commission within 10 days and an opportunity of personal hearing would be afforded to him on the Show Cause Notice. In case he would not file reply to the Show Cause Notice then it will be assumed that he do not want to say anything in his defence and the Show Cause Notice would be decided on the basis of papers available in the case file.

Appeal Case No. 1933 of 2020

The SPIO is further directed that attested copies of information regarding the deficiencies put up by the appellant along with demand draft of Rs.3,000/- as compensation be sent to him through registered post within 10 days and compliance be sent to the Commission.

Both the parties should connect through **CISCO WEBEX MEETINGS Software (Meeting No. 1582695783)** on the next date of hearing i.e. **11.05.2021 at 11:30 A.M.**

(Avtar Singh Kaler)

Dated: 16.04.2021

State Information Commissioner, Punjab

**(Regd.) CC: Commissioner, Food and Drugs Administration, Punjab, Near Civil Hospital,
Kharar, SAS Nagar. - For compliance of the Order.**



Shri Bhupinder Punj, (M: 99152-35055),
R/o 186, Village Luhara,
Distt. Ludhiana.

.....Appellant.

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/ o Secretary,
Regional Transport Authority,
Patiala.

First Appellate Authority:
O/o State Transport Commissioner, Punjab,
SCO 177-78, Sector 17-C, Chandigarh.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 4570 of 2019

Present:- Shri Bhupinder Punj, appellant is present.
Shri Sham Lal, SPIO-cum-Assistant Transport Officer, O/o Secretary, RTA,
Patiala is present.

O R D E R

Shri Bhupinder Punj, appellant filed RTI application dated 04.07.2019 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Secretary, RTA, Patiala.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings software.

Both the parties are present today.

I have heard the appellant and Mr. Sham Lal, SPIO. The appellant stated that the SPIO has not provided the information. On the other hand the SPIO stated that the application of the appellant is vague because he has not mentioned the registration number of the vehicles neither he mentioned the name of the owner of the vehicle. The information sought by the appellant is vague and voluminous and by providing the same 75% time of the public authority will be wasted and the routine work of the public authority will be affected.

I have gone through the RTI application dated 04.07.2019 filed by the appellant and pointwise order on the RTI application of the appellant is as under:-

The information relating to para no.1 be provided to the appellant. The information relating to para no. 2 is denied because the information sought by the appellant is vague and voluminous and if it will be allowed then most of the time of the public authorities will be wasted in preparing the information and no public interest is involved in this. The information relating to para no. 3 be provided to the appellant. The information relating to para no. 4 and 5 does not cover within the definition of 'information' under the RTI Act, 2005. Only that information is to be provided which exist in the record of the SPIO and the SPIO is not bound to prepare the information as per the wishes of the applicant. The information relating to para 6 be provided to the appellant. As regards the information relating to para no. 7, the appellant has

Contd..p2..

Appeal Case No. 4570 of 2019

not mentioned the number of any Truck neither he mentioned the name of the owner, so this para is vague. In case the appellant will submit the list of atleast 10 trucks along with the names of their owner to the notice of the SPIO, then the information be provided to the appellant.

The SPIO is directed to send the attested copies of information/reply to the appellant through registered post within 15 days and a copy of the same be sent to the Commission as compliance.

Both the parties should connect through **CISCO WEBEX MEETINGS Software (Meeting No. 1582695783)** on the next date of hearing i.e. **07.07.2021 at 11:30 A.M.**

Dated: 16.04.2021

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab**



Shri Bhupinder Punj, (M: 99152-35055),
R/o 186, Village Luhara,
Distt. Ludhiana.

.....Appellant.

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/ o Secretary,
Regional Transport Authority,
Patiala.

First Appellate Authority:
O/o State Transport Commissioner, Punjab,
SCO 177-78, Sector 17-C, Chandigarh.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 4571 of 2019

Present:- Shri Bhupinder Punj, appellant is present.
Shri Sham Lal, SPIO-cum-Assistant Transport Officer, O/o Secretary, RTA,
Patiala is present.

O R D E R

Shri Bhupinder Punj, appellant filed RTI application dated 04.07.2019 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Secretary, RTA, Patiala.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings software.

Both the parties are present today.

I have heard the appellant and Mr. Sham Lal, SPIO. The appellant stated that the SPIO has not provided the information. On the other hand the SPIO stated that the application of the appellant is vague because he has not mentioned the registration number of the vehicles neither he mentioned the name of the owner of the vehicle. The information sought by the appellant is vague and voluminous and by providing the same 75% time of the public authority will be wasted and the routine work of the public authority will be affected.

I have gone through the RTI application dated 04.07.2019 filed by the appellant and pointwise order on the RTI application of the appellant is as under:-

The information relating to para no.1 be provided to the appellant. The information relating to para no. 2 is denied because the information sought by the appellant is vague and voluminous and if it will be allowed then most of the time of the public authorities will be wasted in preparing the information and no public interest is involved in this. The information relating to para no. 3 be provided to the appellant. The information relating to para no. 4 and 5 does not cover within the definition of 'information' under the RTI Act, 2005. Only that information is to be provided which exist in the record of the SPIO and the SPIO is not bound to prepare the information as per the wishes of the applicant. The information relating to para 6 be provided to the appellant. As regards the information relating to para no. 7, the appellant has

Contd..p2..

Appeal Case No. 4571 of 2019

not mentioned the number of any Truck neither he mentioned the name of the owner, so this para is vague. In case the appellant will submit the list of atleast 10 trucks along with the names of their owner to the notice of the SPIO, then the information be provided to the appellant.

The SPIO is directed to send the attested copies of information/reply to the appellant through registered post within 15 days and a copy of the same be sent to the Commission as compliance.

Both the parties should connect through **CISCO WEBEX MEETINGS Software (Meeting No. 1582695783)** on the next date of hearing i.e. **07.07.2021 at 11:30 A.M.**

Dated: 16.04.2021

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab**



Shri Bhupinder Punj, (M: 99152-35055),
R/o 186, Village Luhara,
Distt. Ludhiana.

.....Appellant.

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/ o Secretary,
Regional Transport Authority,
Patiala.

First Appellate Authority:
O/o State Transport Commissioner, Punjab,
SCO 177-78, Sector 17-C, Chandigarh.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 4572 of 2019

Present:- Shri Bhupinder Punj, appellant is present.
Shri Sham Lal, SPIO-cum-Assistant Transport Officer, O/o Secretary, RTA,
Patiala is present.

O R D E R

Shri Bhupinder Punj, appellant filed RTI application dated 04.07.2019 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Secretary, RTA, Patiala.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings software.

Both the parties are present today.

I have heard the appellant and Mr. Sham Lal, PIO. The appellant stated that the PIO has not provided the information. On the other hand the SPIO stated that the application of the appellant is vague because he has not mentioned the registration number of the vehicles neither he mentioned the name of the owner of the vehicle. The information sought by the appellant is vague and voluminous and by providing the same 75% time of the public authority will be wasted and the routine work of the public authority will be affected.

I have gone through the RTI application dated 04.07.2019 filed by the appellant and pointwise order on the RTI application of the appellant is as under:-

The information relating to para no.1 be provided to the appellant. The information relating to para no. 2 is denied because the information sought by the appellant is vague and voluminous and if it will be allowed then most of the time of the public authorities will be wasted in preparing the information and no public interest is involved in this. The information relating to para no. 3 be provided to the appellant. The information relating to para no. 4 and 5 does not cover within the definition of 'information' under the RTI Act, 2005. Only that information is to be provided which exist in the record of the SPIO and the SPIO is not bound to prepare the information as per the wishes of the applicant. The information relating to para 6 be provided to the appellant. As regards the information relating to para no. 7, the appellant has

Contd..p2..

Appeal Case No. 4572 of 2019

not mentioned the number of any Truck neither he mentioned the name of the owner, so this para is vague. In case the appellant will submit the list of atleast 10 trucks along with the names of their owner to the notice of the SPIO, then the information be provided to the appellant.

The SPIO is directed to send the attested copies of information/reply to the appellant through registered post within 15 days and a copy of the same be sent to the Commission as compliance.

Both the parties should connect through **CISCO WEBEX MEETINGS Software (Meeting No. 1582695783)** on the next date of hearing i.e. **07.07.2021 at 11:30 A.M.**

Dated: 16.04.2021

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab**

Shri Bhupinder Punj, (M: 99152-35055),
R/o 186, Village Luhara,
Distt. Ludhiana.

.....Appellant.

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/ o Secretary,
Regional Transport Authority,
Patiala.

First Appellate Authority:
O/o State Transport Commissioner, Punjab,
SCO 177-78, Sector 17-C, Chandigarh.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 4573 of 2019

Present:- Shri Bhupinder Punj, appellant is present.
Shri Sham Lal, SPIO-cum-Assistant Transport Officer, O/o Secretary, RTA,
Patiala is present.

O R D E R

Shri Bhupinder Punj, appellant filed RTI application dated 04.07.2019 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Secretary, RTA, Patiala.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings software.

Both the parties are present today.

I have heard the appellant and Mr. Sham Lal, PIO. The appellant stated that the PIO has not provided the information. On the other hand the SPIO stated that the application of the appellant is vague because he has not mentioned the registration number of the vehicles neither he mentioned the name of the owner of the vehicle. The information sought by the appellant is vague and voluminous and by providing the same 75% time of the public authority will be wasted and the routine work of the public authority will be affected.

I have gone through the RTI application dated 04.07.2019 filed by the appellant and pointwise order on the RTI application of the appellant is as under:-

The information relating to para no.1 be provided to the appellant. The information relating to para no. 2 is denied because the information sought by the appellant is vague and voluminous and if it will be allowed then most of the time of the public authorities will be wasted in preparing the information and no public interest is involved in this. The information relating to para no. 3 be provided to the appellant. The information relating to para no. 4 and 5 does not cover within the definition of 'information' under the RTI Act, 2005. Only that information is to be provided which exist in the record of the SPIO and the SPIO is not bound to prepare the information as per the wishes of the applicant. The information relating to para 6 be provided to the appellant. As regards the information relating to para no. 7, the appellant has

Contd..p2..

Appeal Case No. 4573 of 2019

not mentioned the number of any Truck neither he mentioned the name of the owner, so this para is vague. In case the appellant will submit the list of atleast 10 trucks along with the names of their owner to the notice of the SPIO, then the information be provided to the appellant.

The SPIO is directed to send the attested copies of information/reply to the appellant through registered post within 15 days and a copy of the same be sent to the Commission as compliance.

Both the parties should connect through **CISCO WEBEX MEETINGS Software (Meeting No. 1582695783)** on the next date of hearing i.e. **07.07.2021 at 11:30 A.M.**

Dated: 16.04.2021

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab**

Shri Bhupinder Punj, (M: 99152-35055),
R/o 186, Village Luhara,
Distt. Ludhiana.

.....Appellant.

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/ o Secretary,
Regional Transport Authority,
Patiala.

First Appellate Authority:
O/o State Transport Commissioner, Punjab,
SCO 172-73, Sector 17-C,
Chandigarh.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 3776 of 2019

Present:- Shri Bhupinder Punj, appellant is present.
Shri Sham Lal, SPIO-cum-Assistant Transport Officer, O/o Secretary, RTA,
Patiala is present.

ORDER

Shri Bhupinder Punj, appellant filed RTI application dated 25.06.2019 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Secretary, RTA, Patiala.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings software.

Both the parties are present today.

I have heard the appellant and Mr. Sham Lal, PIO. The appellant stated that the PIO has not provided the information. On the other hand the SPIO stated that the application of the appellant is vague because he has not mentioned the registration number of the vehicles neither he mentioned the name of the owner of the vehicle. The information sought by the appellant is vague and voluminous and by providing the same 75% time of the public authority will be wasted and the routine work of the public authority will be affected.

The SPIO further submitted that so far as the I.D. of the employees is concerned, this record is in between the office head and the employee and it is personal in between the employee and the office head. The SPIO further submitted that such like information was denied vide Order dated 08.06.2020 passed by Full Bench of the Punjab State Information Commission consisting of the Ld. Shri Suresh Arora, the Hon'ble Chief Information Commissioner, the Ld. Smt. Preety Chawla, the Hon'ble State Information Commissioner and the Ld. Shri Khushwant Singh, the Hon'ble State Information Commissioner.

I have gone through the RTI application dated 25.06.2019 filed by the appellant and pointwise order on the RTI application of the appellant is as under:-

1. Para 1 of the information sought by the appellant is allowed.
2. Para 2 of the information is not allowed being personal in nature.

3. Para 3 of the information is to be supplied to the appellant being available in the office record.
4. The information relating to para no. 4 is denied being vague.
5. The information relating to para no. 5 is denied being vague.
6. In this para the appellant is seeking the information regarding increasing of weight of Trucks for the period from 1.5.2019 to 20.6.2019 but he has not mentioned any Truck number neither he mentioned any name of the owner. So, this information is vague. Rest of the information is denied being personal in nature.
7. The information sought in this para is denied being personal in nature.
8. The appellant is seeking the information regarding increasing of weight of trucks for the period from 01.05.2019 to 20.06.2019 but he has not mentioned any Truck number neither he mentioned any name of the owner. So, this para is also vague. In case the appellant will submit the list of 10 Trucks along with name of the owner, then the record sought by him vide para no. 8 be provided to him and the appellant is directed that he should submit the list of the PIO within 10 days. Rest of the information sought by the appellant is either personal or between the office head and the employees. The appellant is to do nothing or no public interest is involved. So, all the other information is denied.
9. The information sought in this para is denied being vague.

I have also heard the SPIO on the show cause notice and he stated that the above said facts are in the knowledge of the Commission that the applicant filed vague application and voluminous in size. Such like information does not cover within the definition of information. Only that information which is in existence in the records of the public authority can be provided. After hearing the SPIO on the show cause notice I hereby withdraw the show cause notice issued to him.

In case the appellant submits as directed above the name of owners and number of vehicles then only that para of information be sent to the applicant through registered post and copy be sent to the Commission as compliance. Be come present on the next date of hearing on 7.07.2021 at 11.30 AM.

Both the parties should connect through **CISCO WEBEX MEETINGS Software (Meeting No. 1582695783)** on the next date of hearing i.e. **07.07.2021 at 11:30 A.M.**

Dated: 16.04.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab